Introduced by Senator Blakeslee

February 15, 2011

An act to amend Section 11353 of, and to add Section 11346.35 to, the Government Code, relating to regulations.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 353, as introduced, Blakeslee. Regulations: economic analysis. Existing law, the Administrative Procedure Act, governs the procedure for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of regulations by state agencies and for the review of those regulatory actions by the Office of Administrative Law. Existing law requires a state agency proposing to adopt, amend, or repeal any administrative regulation to assess the potential for adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals, as prescribed.

Existing law exempts the adoption or revision of state policies for water quality control and water quality control plans and guidelines by the State Water Resources Control Board from the procedures of the act, except as provided.

The bill would require an agency to enter into an agreement for the performance of an external economic peer review, as specified, of an agency's economic assessment for any major rule, as defined. The bill would prohibit an agency from taking any action to adopt the final version of a major rule unless certain conditions relating to the external economic peer review are met.

This bill would require the State Water Resources Control Board to comply with the economic assessment requirement and the corresponding external economic peer review requirement of the act for any policy, plan, or guideline adopted by the board.

SB 353 -2-

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 11346.35 is added to the Government 2 Code, to read:

- 11346.35. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:
- (1) "Economic assessment" refers to the assessment required by Section 11346.3.
- (2) "Major rule" means the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any regulation by a state agency, including a policy adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code) that has the effect of a regulation, and that is adopted in order to implement, interpret, or make specific a statute, that will have an economic impact on the state's business enterprises in an amount exceeding one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000), as estimated in the economic assessment.
- (b) An agency shall enter into an agreement with the National Bureau of Economic Research, the University of California, the California State University, or groups of economists of comparable stature and qualifications that are recommended by the President of the University of California, to conduct an external economic peer review of the economic assessment for any major rule proposed for adoption by an agency.
- (c) (1) An agency shall use the process for selecting external peer reviewers adopted pursuant to Section 57004 of the Health and Safety Code, except as provided in paragraph (2).
- (2) An agency may contact or communicate with an external economic peer reviewer for the purpose of entering into a contract with the reviewer and providing the proposed major rule, including the economic assessment, and other appropriate materials on which the economic assessment of the proposed major rule are based.
- (d) A person shall not serve as an external economic peer reviewer for the economic assessment of a major rule if that person participated in the development of the economic assessment of the major rule.

-3- SB 353

(e) The identity of the individual external economic peer reviewers shall remain confidential until the external economic peer reviewer submits the written report to the state board.

- (f) An agency shall not take any action to adopt the final version of a major rule unless all of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The agency submits the economic assessment of the proposed major rule, along with a statement of the findings, conclusions, and assumptions on which the economic assessment of the proposed rule are based and the supporting data, studies, and other appropriate materials, to the external economic peer review entity for its evaluation.
- (2) The external economic peer review entity, within the timeframe agreed upon by the agency and the external economic peer review entity, prepares a written report that contains an evaluation of the economic assessment of the proposed rule. If the external economic peer review entity finds that the agency has failed to demonstrate that the economic assessment of the proposed rule is based upon sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, the report shall state that finding, and the reasons explaining the finding, within the agreed-upon timeframe, but no later than 60 days following the external economic peer review entity's receipt of the materials listed in paragraph (1).
- (3) The agency accepts the finding of the external economic peer review entity, in whole, or in part, and revises the economic assessment of the proposed rule accordingly, or rejects the finding. If the agency disagrees with any aspect of the finding of the external economic peer review entity, it shall explain, and include as part of the rulemaking record, its basis for arriving at such a determination in the adoption of the final rule, including the reasons why it has determined that the scientific portions of the proposed rule are based on sound scientific knowledge, methods, and practices. The external economic peer review entity's written findings will also be included in the rulemaking record.
- (g) The agency shall notify the fiscal committees of each house of the Legislature and the Department of Finance of the completion of the external economic peer review. The notification shall include the text of the proposed major rule, the agency's economic assessment of the major rule, and the external economic peer review entity's written findings.

SB 353 —4—

(h) The requirements of this section do not apply to any emergency regulation adopted pursuant to Section 11346.1.

- (i) Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to, in any way, limit the authority of an agency to adopt a rule pursuant to the requirements of the statute that authorizes or requires the adoption of the major rule.
- SEC. 2. Section 11353 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 11353. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b) *and* (c), this chapter does not apply to the adoption or revision of state policy for water quality control and the adoption or revision of water quality control plans and guidelines pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code.
- (b) (1) Any policy, plan, or guideline, or any revision thereof, that the State Water Resources Control Board has adopted or that a court determines is subject to this part, after June 1, 1992, shall be submitted to the office.
- (2) The State Water Resources Control Board shall include in its submittal to the office all of the following:
- (A) A clear and concise summary of any regulatory provisions adopted or approved as part of that action, for publication in the California Code of Regulations.
- (B) The administrative record for the proceeding. Proposed additions to a policy, plan, or guideline shall be indicated by underlined text and proposed deletions shall be indicated by strike-through text in documents submitted as part of the administrative record for the proceeding.
 - (C) A summary of the necessity for the regulatory provision.
- (D) A certification by the chief legal officer of the State Water Resources Control Board that the action was taken in compliance with all applicable procedural requirements of Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code.
- (E) The results of the economic impact assessment and the external economic peer review of the assessment, if any, as required by Sections 11346.3 and 11346.35
- (3) Paragraph (2) does not limit the authority of the office to review any regulatory provision which is part of the policy, plan, or guideline submitted by the State Water Resources Control Board.
- (4) The office shall review the regulatory provisions to determine compliance with the standards of necessity, authority,

-5— SB 353

clarity, consistency, reference, and nonduplication set forth in subdivision (a) of Section 11349.1. The office shall also review the responses to public comments prepared by the State Water Resources Control Board or the appropriate regional water quality control board to determine compliance with the public participation requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq.). The office shall review the regulatory provisions for compliance with the economic impact assessment required by Section 11346.3 and the external economic peer review analysis, if any, required by Section 11346.35. The office shall restrict its review to the regulatory provisions and the administrative record of the proceeding. Sections 11349.3, 11349.4, 11349.5, and 11350.3 shall apply to the review by the office to the extent that those sections are consistent with this section.

- (5) The policy, plan, guideline, or revision shall not become effective unless and until the regulatory provisions are approved by the office in accordance with subdivision (a) of Section 11349.3.
- (6) Upon approval of the regulatory provisions, the office shall transmit to the Secretary of State for filing the clear and concise summary of the regulatory provisions submitted by the State Water Resources Control Board.
- (7) Any proceedings before the State Water Resources Control Board or a California regional water quality control board to take any action subject to this subdivision shall be conducted in accordance with the procedural requirements of Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code, together with any applicable requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq.), and the requirements of this chapter, other than the requirement for review by the office in accordance with this subdivision requirements of this section, shall not apply.
- (8) This subdivision shall not provide a basis for review by the office under this subdivision or Article 6 (commencing with Section 11349) of any such policy, plan, or guideline adopted or revised prior to June 1, 1992.
- (c) The State Water Resources Control Board shall perform the economic impact assessment required by Section 11346.3 for any policy, plan, or guideline, or any revision thereof, that it adopts after January 1, 2012.

(c)

SB 353 -6-

(d) Subdivision (a) does not apply to a provision of any policy, plan, guideline, or revision, as applied to any person who, as of June 1, 1992, was a party to a civil action challenging that provision on the grounds that it has not been adopted as a regulation pursuant to this chapter.

(d)

(e) Copies of the policies, plans, and guidelines to which subdivision (a) applies shall be maintained at central locations for inspection by the public. The State Water Resources Control Board shall maintain, at its headquarters in Sacramento, a current copy of each policy, plan, or guideline in effect. Each regional water quality control board shall maintain at its headquarters a current copy of each policy, plan, or guideline in effect in its respective region. Any revision of a policy, plan, or guideline shall be made available for inspection by the public within 30 days of its effective date.